## TA NORRIS MIDDLE SCHOOL <br> 

Annual Education Results Report 2021-2022


Principal: Wade Johnson
Staff Representative: ALL STAFF
School Council Representative: Discussed and reviewed at the November meeting


## School Profile

T.A. Norris Middle School serves the town of Peace River and surrounding district in a well-equipped building. The school boasts renovations (such as a viewing gallery for our busy gymnasium), new open concept washrooms (2012), an art room, music room, industrial arts (IA) shop, a home economics lab (foods lab and sewing lab), a double gymnasium, a traverse climbing wall, a fitness area, 1-1 Chromebooks for ALL students, and a beautiful library that has been renovated with an excellent selection of English and French materials. Our school enrollment
 is up considerably this year; we have 282 students. We have 13 core-based classrooms; 9 English based and 4 that are French immersion.


We have continued our instructional model called Response to Intervention (RTI), as a means to meet the needs of all learners. We have created an opportunity for all students to receive targeted instruction during a 'Success Block'. During the block teachers have the opportunity to work with targeted groups of students with a focus on literacy and other foundational skills.

All Grade Five and Six students receive a standard set of introductory courses, while Grade Six students receive standard intermediate level training. Courses include Home Economics (cooking and sewing), Industrial Arts (wood working), Art and Drama. Our Grade Five and Six students also take a second language (French) and Music. Grade Six students can also register for an introductory Band program. Our Grade Seven and Eight students may choose their exploratory courses and these classes are cross-graded.

We have resumed our Hockey and Gymnastics Academies that were postponed last year due to COVID restrictions. The students go on the ice twice a week for one hour. We have partnered with the Gymnastics club to offer a grade five/six program. We use both their facilities and instruct on Tuesday and Thursday for Term 1 and 2 for one hour.
T.A. Norris and Springfield Elementary School are working together to offer a Healthy Buddies Program. This is a transition program set up by the staff of the two schools. Our Gr. 7 students go to Springfield once for one hour to teach Health to Gr. 4. During the following five weeks, the Gr. 4 students come to T.A. Norris. This builds leadership skills with our Gr. 7 students while helping

with the transition of the Gr. 4 students into Gr. 5 at T.A. Norris. When students come to T.A. Norris in September they will already have spent a significant amount of time at the school and will know many of the new Gr. 8 students very well.
Staffing has been made available through the Peace River Mental Health Capacity Building Project, including one full-time youth support worker whose focus is on a wide variety of issues surrounding student mental and physical health.

T.A. Norris offers a Band Program and French as a Second Language (FSL). There are forty students in Grades 5-8 studying in our French Immersion program. Students enrolled in this program receive instruction in French for $70 \%$ of the day. Though expensive and difficult to staff, French Immersion and our Band program provides additional choices for our students and enhances our marketing to maintain our student enrolment.



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The $2020 / 21$ administration of the AEA survey was a pilot. The Citizenship measure was adjusted to reflect the introduction of the new AEA survey measures. In addition, participation in the survey was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Evaluations have not been calculated as 2020/21 survey results are not comparable with other years.
3. Participation in the 2019/20 Diploma Exams was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. In the absence of Diploma Exams, achievement level of diploma courses were determined solely by school-awarded marks. Caution should be used when interpreting high school completion rate results over time.
. The "N/A" placeholder for the "Current Result" for PAT and Diploma Exam measures are included until results can be updated in the Fall.
4. Aggregated PAT results are based upon a weighted average of percent meeting standards (Acceptable, Excellence). The weights are the number of students enrolled in each course. Courses included: English Language Arts (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE), Français ( 6 e et 9 e année), French Language Arts ( 6 e et 9 e année), Mathematics (Grades 6, 9, 9 KAE ), Science (Grades 6, 9 , 9 KAE), Social Studies (Grades 6, $9,9 \mathrm{KAE}$ ).
5. Participation in the Provincial Achievement Tests and Diploma Examinations was impacted by the fires in 2016 and 2019, as well as by the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 . Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.

## Measure Evaluation Reference (Required AEAMs)

## Achievement Evaluation

Achievement evaluation is based upon a comparison of Current Year data to a set of standards that remain consistent over time. The Standards are calculated by taking the 3 -year average of baseline data for each measure across all school jurisdictions and calculating the 5 th, 25 th, 75 th and 95 th percentiles. Once calculated, these standards remain in place from year to year to allow for consistent planning and evaluation.
The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermediate | High | Very High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Citizenship | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 66.30 \end{aligned}$ | 66.30-71.63 | 71.63-77.50 | 77.50-81.08 | 81.08-100.00 |
| 3-year High School Completion | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 65.95 \end{aligned}$ | 65.95-74.10 | 74.10-84.79 | 84.79-89.00 | 89.00-100.00 |
| 5-year High School Completion | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 72.59 \end{aligned}$ | 72.59-80.82 | 80.82-89.18 | 89.18-91.96 | 91.96-100.00 |
| PAT: Acceptable | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 66.07 \end{aligned}$ | 66.07-70.32 | 70.32-79.81 | 79.81-84.64 | 84.64-100.00 |
| PAT: Excellence | 0.00-9.97 | 9.97-13.44 | 13.44-19.56 | 19.56-25.83 | 25.83-100.00 |
| Diploma: Acceptable | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 71.45 \end{aligned}$ | 71.45-78.34 | 78.34-84.76 | 84.76-87.95 | 87.95-100.00 |
| Diploma: Excellence | 0.00-9.55 | 9.55-12.59 | 12.59-19.38 | 19.38-23.20 | 23.20-100.00 |
| Education Quality | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 80.94 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 80.94-84.23 | 84.23-87.23 | 87.23-89.60 | 89.60-100.00 |
| Parental Involvement | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 70.76 \end{aligned}$ | 70.76-74.58 | 74.58-78.50 | 78.50-82.30 | 82.30-100.00 |

Notes:
 greater than or equal to the lower value to $100 \%$.

## Improvement Table

 of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.

The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| Declined Significantly | 3.84 + (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | 1.00-3.83 (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | 1.00-3.83 (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | 3.84 + (current > previous 3-year average) |

Overall Evaluation Table
 evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

The following pages include tables and graphs that provide detailed data for the performance measures. Schools may include these under each measure/outcome to provide context and help in interpreting the results.

Student Learning Engagement - Measure Details



1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.
3. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.

## Comment on Results

- Students transitioned this year into a more digital based platform that met with a mixed response. When technology was working, there was success. Logging in, logistics related to blended learning did provide some barriers in the teaching and learning. As the year moved along, those became less and less.
o This was a very difficult year due to COVID restrictions. We had staff, students and at times full classes and the entire school having to isolate and move to an online learning model which made the engagement of students more difficult. Our results reflect our inability to do the activities we normally would have done.

Citizenship - Measure Details


Graph of School Results

Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.
3. The Citizenship measure was adjusted to reflect the introduction of the new AEA survey measures in 2019/20. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
4. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.
5. Current and historical results were adjusted to reflect an update to the Alberta Education Assurance Measure reporting system.

## Comment on Results

- We believe students need to be more explicitly educated on the positive aspects of citizenship they are already displaying and learning
- It was difficult this year as we were not able to engage in many activities that we normally would have due to COVID restrictions


## Strategies

- Classes provided students opportunities to take an active part in their education and develop cooperative learning skills through:
- Involvement in the assessment process through the construction of projects and assessments
- Peer evaluation
- Group work opportunities
- Student normally had the opportunity to assist in the operation of the school - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- As canteen helpers
- As library helpers
- Making and distributing student breakfasts through Breakfast for Learning
- As volunteer referees and coaches for intramurals and elementary teams
- Volunteer opportunities throughout the school year (Track Meet workers; tour guides)

- Team Active NERDs (school council) POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Student Mentoring - organized through Project Peace - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Educate, engage, and monitor students on the principles of responsible digital citizenship.
- Routinely referred students to the citizenship qualities reinforced by school activities and behaviours.
- Routinely referred students to job/career skills school learning can be applied to.
- Use of Class Dojo (classroom management software) to specifically recognize and celebrate Citizenship
- Mentorship programs - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Direct social skills instruction
- Cultural programming for our at risk FNMI students provided in conjunction with the Sagitawa Friendship Centre


## Education Quality - Measure Details





Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

## Comments on results

We believe our performance here indicates the need to better inform/educate students and parents on the relevant programming in place.


## Strategies

- There was frequent posting of academic and extracurricular events on ClassDojo
- Normally invite to parents to visit the school and attend special events, circulated via ClassDojo - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- The school was innovative and responsive to needs of the students and community (evolving exploratory program, Olweus / EBS program).
- Committed to maintaining effective professional development and a thriving professional learning community
- Encouraging practicum students to complete their time at our school

Parental Involvement - Measure Details



Graph of School Results


Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.

Comment
Due to COVID related restrictions, there seems to be a greater disconnect for parents being involved with the school. So many strategies listed below that have made us successful in this area in the past were not able to be implemented.

Strategies
Core teachers normally invite parents to visit the school for events such as - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions

- Awards (Extra-curricular, Academic)
- Meet the Teacher night
- Concerts (Christmas/band)
- Extra-curricular events
- Opportunities for parents to be involved in school events - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Parent Advisory Council
- Hot lunch program
- Extra-curricular events
- Fundraising for French Immersion, grade 6 Social Studies and grade 8 excursions.


## - Classroom / Library volunteers.

- Remain committed to maintaining effective professional development and a thriving professional learning community
- Continue to participate in the Gr. 6 conference in Edmonton, and Gr. 8 trip to camp YoWoChAs - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Encourage parents to view and attend upcoming events - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Continue the Team Active Nerds student leadership program - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Keep parents informed about the school and their children through the news\|letter, website, direct conferences, and case conferences
- Maintain working relationships with organizations and persons within the greater school community RCMP (D.A.R.E. programming; school liaison officer).

Welcoming, Caring, Respectful and Safe Learning Environments - Measure Details




Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

## Comment on Results

We believe the climate of care and respect for others has continued to improve.

## Strategies

- The school is innovative and responsive to needs of the students and community (evolving exploratory program, Olweus / EBS program).
- The school takes the lead on offering extracurricular events for inter-school participation (tournaments, track meets, etc.) - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Provided information regarding our programming to stakeholders
- Kept parents informed about the school and their children through the newsletter, website, direct conferences, and case conferences Maintain working relationships with organizations and persons within the greater school community RCMP (D.A.R.E. programming; school liaison officer).

Access to Supports \& Services - Measure Details



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).

The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

## Comment on Results

We believe the access to appropriate supports this year was challenging due to the COVID restrictions. Our communication with many parents increased due to the various times we had to have at home learning through the year. While we had other parents, communication with the school was challenging.

## Strategies

- The school was innovative and responsive to the needs of the students.
- The school will continue to take the lead on offering extracurricular events for inter-school participation (tournaments, track meets, etc.) - POSTPONED due to COVID restrictions
- Provide information regarding our programming to stakeholders via a variety of forms of communication: weekly email updates, website, Facebook

Supplemental Alberta Education Assurance Measures - Overall Summary


Notes:
Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. Only supplemental measures with Achievement standards are included in the Supplemental AEAMs - Overall Summary.
3. Participation in the 2020/21 AEA survey was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Evaluations have not been calculated as 2020/21 survey results are not comparable with other years.
 over time.

## Measure Evaluation Reference (Supplemental AEAMs)

Achievement Evaluation

 evaluation.
The table below shows the range of values defining the 5 achievement evaluation levels for each measure

| Measure | Very Low | Low | Intermed iate | High | Very High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-year High School Completion | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 71.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71.57- \\ 78.63 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78.63- \\ 87.93 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.93- \\ 91.45 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.45- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Diploma Exam Participation Rate (4+ Exams) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 36.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 36.23- \\ 41.92 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 41.92- \\ 58.66 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58.66- \\ 71.19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.19- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Drop Out Rate | $\begin{gathered} 100.00 \\ 9.40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.40- \\ 6.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.90- \\ 4.27 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.27- \\ 2.79 \end{gathered}$ | 2.79-0.00 |
| Program of Studies | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 66.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66.31- \\ 72.65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.65- \\ 78.43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.43 \\ 81.59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81.59- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Rutherford Scholarship Eligibility Rate | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 47.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.98- \\ 55.78 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.78 \\ 68.95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.95- \\ 74.96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.96- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Safe and Caring | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 77.62 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77.62- \\ 81.05 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81.05- \\ 84.50 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.50- \\ & 88.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.03- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| School Improvement | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 65.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.25- \\ 70.85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.85- \\ 76.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.28- \\ 80.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.41- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Transition Rate (4 yr) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 21.98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.98- \\ 30.52 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.52- \\ 44.34 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.34- \\ 61.50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.50- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Transition Rate (6 yr) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 35.49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.49- \\ 49.47 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 49.47- \\ 62.88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 62.88- \\ & 72.76 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.76- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Work Preparation | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00- \\ & 66.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 66.92- \\ 72.78 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72.78 \\ 77.78 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.78 \\ 86.13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.13- \\ & 100.00 \end{aligned}$ |

Notes:
 from greater than or equal to the lower value to $100 \%$.
 the higher value. For the Very High evaluation level, values range from $0 \%$ to less than or equal to the higher value.

## Improvement Table

 of the improvement. This test takes into account the size of the jurisdiction in the calculation to make improvement evaluation fair across jurisdictions of different sizes.
The table below shows the definition of the 5 improvement evaluation levels based upon the chi-square result.

| Evaluation Category | Chi-Square Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| Declined Significantly | 3.84 + (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Declined | 1.00-3.83 (current < previous 3-year average) |
| Maintained | less than 1.00 |
| Improved | 1.00-3.83 (current > previous 3-year average) |
| Improved Significantly | 3.84 + (current > previous 3-year average) |

Overall Evaluation Table
 evaluation.

|  | Achievement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Improvement | Very High | High | Intermediate | Low | Very Low |
| Improved Significantly | Excellent | Good | Good | Good | Acceptable |
| Improved | Excellent | Good | Good | Acceptable | Issue |
| Maintained | Excellent | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Concern |
| Declined | Good | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern |
| Declined Significantly | Acceptable | Issue | Issue | Concern | Concern |

## In-service Jurisdiction Needs- Measure Details

The percentage of teachers reporting that in the past 3-5 years the professional development and in-servicing received from the school authority has been focused, systematic and contributed significantly to their ongoing professional growth.



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.

Lifelong Learning - Measure Details



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.

Program of Studies - Measure Details



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

Program of Studies - At Risk Students - Measure Details



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

Safe and Caring - Measure Details
Percentage of teacher, parent and student agreement that: students are safe at school, are learning the importance of caring for others, are learning respect for others and are treated fairly in school.



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

Satisfaction with Program Access - Measure Details



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

School Improvement - Measure Details



Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.
3. Student participation in the survey was impacted between 2014 and 2017 due to the number of students responding through the OurSCHOOL/TTFM (Tell Them From Me) survey tool.

Work Preparation - Measure Details


|  | Graph of Sch |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

## Notes:

1. Data values have been suppressed where the number of respondents/students is fewer than 6 . Suppression is marked with an asterisk (*).
2. The AEA survey was introduced as a pilot in 2020/21, when participation was also impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Caution should be used when interpreting trends over time.

## Budget Report



Total Revenue and Allocations to Budget Center
$\$ 136,180$
$\$ 124,950$

| Expenditures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Staffing Costs | 2021-2022 Spring Budgot | 2020-2021 Spring Budgot |
| School Based Certificated Sub Cost | \$9,990 | \$9,990 |
| Days of School Cetified Subs Certified: Subatinse Teacher: Daily Rate | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 45.00 Days } \\ \$ 222.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 45.00 Days } \\ \$ 222.00 \end{array}$ |
| School Based Certificated Sub Benefits | \$999 | \$999 |
| School Iased Certificated Sub Cost | 59,990 | \$9,990 |
| Sub Teacher Beneff Rates | 0.1000 Factor | 0.1000 Factior |
| Uncertified Subs and Additional Hours | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Total Other Staffing Costs | \$12,989 | \$12,989 |
| \% of Expenditures | 10\% | 10\% |
| Contracted Services | 2021-2022 Spring Budgot | 2020-2021 Spring Budgot |
| Certificated Inservice/Reg Fees | \$6,000 | \$6,000 |
| Uncertificated Inservice/Reg Fees | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Postage \& Phone | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |
| Advertising | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Expense Reimbursement | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| Field Trips | \$5,500 | \$5,500 |
| Contracted Bulding Grounds Maintenance | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Contracted Equipment \& Vehicle Maintenance | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Total Contracted Services \% of Expenditures | $\$ 23,500$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 23,500 \\ 19 \% \end{array}$ |
| Supplies | 2021-2022 Spring Budgot | 2020-2021 Spring Budget |
| Supplies | \$79,691 | \$68,461 |
| Library Supplies | \$7,000 | \$7,000 |
| Furniture \& Equipment | \$13,000 | \$13,000 |


| Budget Report 1 | 1 | Monday, November 15, 2021 11:12 AM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| SCHOOL: TA Norris Middle - Budget Report | 2021-2022 Spring Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies | 2021-2022 Spring Budget | 2020-2021 Spring Budget |
| Total Supplies \% of Expenditures | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 99,691 \\ 73 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 88,461 \\ 71 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total Expenditures | \$136,180 | \$124,950 |
| Summary |  |  |
|  | 2021-2022 Spring Budgot | 2020-2021 Spring Budgot |
| Total Revenues and Allocations To Budget | \$136,180 | \$124,950 |
| Total Expenditures | \$136,180 | \$124,950 |
| Variance | \$0 | \$0 |

